"Neutrinos: a Probe for New Physics"

J. W. F. Valle

IFIC-CSIC/U. Valencia

Based on review Neutrino properties before and after KamLAND S. Pakvasa and JV hep-ph/0301061

Atmospheric Neutrinos

are produced in decay cascades initiated by collisions of cosmic rays (p, He, ...) with the Earth's atmosphere

arise mainly from pion decay, and the subsequent muon decay $\pi \rightarrow \mu + \nu_{\mu}$ and $\mu \rightarrow e + \nu_{e} + \nu_{\mu}$ one expects roughly two ν_{μ} per ν_{e}

The ν_e flux measured by underground experiments is in agreement with the predictions.

However, these experiments observe a strong deficit of ν_{μ} 's, especially of those coming from "below"



deficit is very well explained by the $u_{\mu} \rightarrow
u_{\tau}$ oscillation hypothesis

Atmospheric zenith distribution

Maltoni, Schwetz, Tortola and JV PRD67 (2003) 013011



AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

atmospheric neutrino parameters-1

Maltoni et al PRD67 (2003) 013011 hep-ph/0207227

$$\sin^2 heta_{ ext{ATM}}=0.5$$

$$\Delta m_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle ATM}^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \; {\rm eV}^2$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 6 \\
 5 \\
 5 \\
 4 \\
 5 \\
 2 \\
 7 \\
 2 \\
 1 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0 \\
 0$$

light-dark symmetry

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

How robust are atmospheric oscillations?

very good contained atm-fit, Gonzalez-Garcia et al, PRL 82 (1999) 3202



non-standard interactions vs atm data

Fornengo et al, PRD **65** (2002) 013010 [hep-ph/0108043].



atm bounds on FC and NU nu-interactions

Solar Neutrinos

are electron neutrinos produced in the core of our Sun by thermonuclear reactions, which generate the solar energy

All reactions result in the overall fusion of protons into helium: $4p \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2e^{+} + \gamma + 2\nu_{e}$

The Standard Solar Model predicts the total amount of neutrinos produced in terms of solar parameters (surface luminosity, age, radius, mass)

Since 1968 many experiments have measured the flux of electron neutrinos arriving at the Earth, and found they are much less than expected. This has been the Solar Neutrino Problem



early 2002

SNO showed that ν_e converts to an active flavour $\nu_e
ightarrow
u_{\mu/ au}$

Reactor Neutrinos

Neutrinos are also produced in nuclear power plants

controlled source



KamLAND rules out non-oscillation descriptions

Barranco et al PRD66 (2002) 093009 [hep-ph/0207326]

Guzzo et al NPB629 (2002) 479

KamLAND confirms the oscillation hypothesis

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Implications of first KamLAND reactor results





Maltoni, Schwetz & JV, PRD67 (2003) 093003 [hep-ph/0212129]

first 145-days data support oscillation hypothesis

critique of various analyses S. Pakvasa and JV hep-ph/0301061

combining with solar neutrino data sample rules out non-LMA-MSW solutions

oscillations happen inside the sun!

 $0.29 \le \tan^2 \theta \le 0.86$

 $5.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \le \Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2 \le 9.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$

 $1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2 \le \Delta m_{\text{SOL}}^2 \le 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$

Solar + KamLAND results •



AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Noisy Sun ?

Prominence Ceronal Streamer (granules) g Sunspot Core **Radiative Zone** Chromosphere **Convective Zene** Filament **Ceronal Hole**



AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Robustness of MSW plot

neutrino propagation strongly affected by density noise Balantekin et al 95 Nunokawa et al NPB472 (1996) 495 Burgess et al 97

substantial distortion

lower $\Delta m^2_{
m SOL}$ possible





Accelerator Neutrinos

Neutrinos are also produced in particle accelerators

well controlled neutrino source



check atmospheric neutrino oscillation hypothesis

The K2K accelerator experiment is observing a small deficit in the flux of muon neutrino arriving at the detector, thus confirming atmospheric neutrino oscillations.

LSND

hints of neutrino conversions also fromthe detection of accelerator-producedneutrinos in the LSND experiment



Peltoniemi, JV, NPB **406**, 409 (1993) Peltoniemi, Tommasini and JV, PLB **298** (1993) 383

Caldwell-Mohapatra PRD48 (1993) 325

http://www.to.infn.it/~giunti/neutrino/









4-nus do not really fit LSND with the rest

Maltoni et al NPB643 (2002) 321; upd of PRD65 (2002) 093004

stronger rejection by solar & atm in 2+2 than 3+1



Pas & Weiler AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Cosmological Neutrinos

Neutrinos were copiously produced in the early hot and dense universe

there are 336 neutrinos of the three flavours per cm^3 , a bit more than the CMB photons

Neutrinos important in the production of the relic abundances of light

elements: **BBN**

Although too light to be a significant component of the dark matter, neutrinos might still have played a role in the formation of large-scale struc-





Cosmology closes in on LSND



2df + WMAP + HST + SNIa

Schwetz et al hep-ph/0305312

Spergel et al, astro-ph/0302209; Hannestad, astro-ph/0303076; Elgaroy & Lahav, astro-ph/0303089 **AHEP** http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Three neutrino parameters in a nut shell

upg of Maltoni et al, PRD67 (2003) 013011 & PRD 67 (2003) 093003, upd of PRD63 (2001) 033005



Tubingen Physikalisches Kolloquium, July 2, 2003 – p.19

minimal set of basic parameters

• 3 angles θ_{ij}

1 KM-like phase oscillations

2 Majorana phases $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$



Schechter and JV, PRD22 (1980) 2227, D23(1980) 1666

both appear in leptogenesis

minimal set of basic parameters

• 3 angles θ_{ij}

1 KM-like phase oscillations

2 Majorana phases $\beta \beta_{0\nu}$



Schechter and JV, PRD22 (1980) 2227, D23(1980) 1666

both appear in leptogenesis

• max θ_{23} , large θ_{12} & small θ_{13}





$\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ and the neutrino spectra (mass mechanism)

given that neutrinos are massive, one expects $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ to occur with an amplitude governed by the average mass parameter



parametrizing K as in Schechter and JV, PRD22 (1980) 2227



$$\langle m_{\nu} \rangle = c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 m_1 + s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 e^{i\alpha} m_2 + s_{13}^2 e^{i\beta} m_3$$

- 3 masses: m_i
- 2 angles: θ_{12} and θ_{13}
- 2 CP violating phases: α, β





current laboratory tests of absolute neutrino mass

Current sol-atm, $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ and Tritium sensitivities

thanks to Martin Hirsch

- Current neutrino oscillation data
- Upper limit for $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle \leq 0.3$ eV with factor ~ 2 uncertainty band
- Upper limit from Tritium experiments: $m_1 \leq 2.5 \text{ eV}$

normal versus inverse hierarchy Log $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle$ /eV vs Log m_1 /eV



Relevance of $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$

in gauge theories $\beta\beta_{0\nu} \leftrightarrow$ majorana mass

Schechter and JV, PRD **25** (1982) 2951

like other L violating processes

 $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ is sensitive to Majorana phases

Schechter and JV, PRD22 (1980) 2227, D23 (1981) 1666 Walfanstein DI P107 (1081) 77: Dai et al

Wolfenstein PLB107 (1981) 77; Doi et al

can not reconstruct majorana phases

Barger, Glashow, Langacker, Marfatia, PLB B540 (2002) 247



Perversity of nature? θ_{13} and Leptonic CP Violation "Dirac" CPV suppressed, since δ disappears when any $\Delta_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ Schechter and J. V., PRD 21 (1980) 309



Neutrino Factories

apart from probing s_{13} and δ ...

Cervera et al, De Rujula, Gavela, Hernandez, Freund, Huber, Lindner, Albright et al, Barger et al...



they can probe Non-Standard nu-Intercations (NSI)

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Improved FC-tests at NuFact

Huber & JV PLB **523** (2001) 151

10 kt detector, 0.33 ν_{τ} detection eff above 4 GeV; need no tau charge id



AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

FCI-oscillation confusion theorem

a neutrino factory is less sensitive to θ_{13} because non-standard neutrino interactions are confused with oscillations

Huber, Schwetz & JV PRL88 (2002) 101804 & PRD66, 013006 (2002)

near-site programme essential

 2×10^{20} mu/yr/polarity \times 5 yr, 40 kt magn iron calorim, 10% muon E-resoln above 4 GeV



Theory ideas

basic dim-5 operator 💿



from Gravity

Weinberg; Barbieri, Ellis, Gaillard; Zee & Weldon

basic dim-5 operator 💽



from Gravity

• from seesaw schemes

Weinberg; Barbieri, Ellis, Gaillard; Zee & Weldon

Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky; Yanagida; Mohapatra, Senjanovic PRL44 (1980) 91 Schechter, JV PRD22 (1980) 2227; PRD25 (1982) 774

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

neutrino unification: large-scale seesaw

Babu, Ma and Valle, PLB552 (2003) 207

 m_{ν}/eV vs. Log M_X/GeV



neutrino masses unify as they run up

Chankowski, Ioannisian, Pokorski and Valle, PRL86 (2001) 3488

solar & atm splittings from RGE

common origin for neutrino and KM mixing

maximal θ_{23} ; large θ_{12} & $\theta_{13} = 0$ or maximal CP violation

see also Grimus & Lavoura

observable neutrino mass eg in cosmology, β and $\beta\beta_{0\nu}$ decays

observable Lepton Flavor Violation $B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) \sim 10^{-6}$

bilinear R parity violation: weak-scale seesaw •

Diaz, Hirsch, Porod, Romao and Valle, hep-ph/0302021 PRD in press; PRD **62** (2000) 113008 [Err-ibid. D **65** (2002) 119901]; PRD **61** (2000) 071703



bilinear R parity violation: weak-scale seesaw •

Diaz, Hirsch, Porod, Romao and Valle, hep-ph/0302021 PRD in press; PRD **62** (2000) 113008 [Err-ibid. D **65** (2002) 119901]; PRD **61** (2000) 071703







solar mass scale loops: analytical vs numerical

M. A. Diaz et al hep-ph/0302021





AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

LSP decay length [cm]: BRPV

100 $m_3 = 0.01 \text{ eV}$ 10 0.1 eV 1 1 eV**0.1** 0.01 40 90 100 30 50 60 70 80 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{LSP}}$ [GeV]

Mukhopadhyaya, Roy & Vissani; Chun & Lee; Choi et al; Datta et al

any charged SUSY particles can be the LSP

from Bartl et al NPB 600 (2001) 39

neutrino mixing angles in BRPV

 $\tan_{23}^2(\Lambda_2/\Lambda_3) \qquad \tan_{12}^2(\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2) \qquad U_{e3}^2(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_3)$

• mixings in terms of RPV ratios, e,g, atm mixing





neutrino mixing angles in BRPV •

 $\tan_{23}^2(\Lambda_2/\Lambda_3) \qquad \tan_{12}^2(\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2) \qquad U_{e3}^2(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_3)$

• mixings in terms of RPV ratios, e,g, atm mixing



LSP decay properties correlate with angles



ightarrow



Porod et al PRD63 (2001) 115004

neutrino mixing angles in BRPV •

 $\tan_{23}^2(\Lambda_2/\Lambda_3) \qquad \tan_{12}^2(\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2) \qquad U_{e3}^2(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_3)$

mixings in terms of RPV ratios, e,g, atm mixing



LSP decay properties correlate with angles

neutralino



$\chi ightarrow \mu q q/\chi ightarrow au q q$ vs an_{23}^2

 stop decays slepton decays

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep

Restrepo, Porod & Valle, PRD64 (2001) 055011 M. Hirsch et al, PRD66 (2002) 095006

Porod et al PRD63 (2001) 115004



http://alpha.ific.uv.es/~valle/talks/talks.html

AHEP http://ific.uv.es/~ahep



hierarchical vs quasi-degenerate, sterile-nus?

http://alpha.ific.uv.es/~valle/talks/talks.html

- top-bottom vs
 - vs bottom-up
- hierarchical vs quasi-degenerate, sterile-nus?
- what is the scale ?
 - Planck scale: Strings?
 - GUT scale E(6), SO(10),...
 - Intermediate scale: P-Q, L-R ...
 - Weak $SU(3)\otimes SU(2)\otimes U(1)$ scale

http://alpha.ific.uv.es/~valle/talks/talks.html

top-bottom vs

vs bottom-up

- hierarchical vs quasi-degenerate, sterile-nus?
- what is the scale ?
 - Planck scale: Strings?
 - GUT scale E(6), SO(10),...
 - Intermediate scale: P-Q, L-R ...
 - Weak $SU(3)\otimes SU(2)\otimes U(1)$ scale
- what is the mechanism?
 - tree vs radiative
 - B-L gauged vs ungauged...

http://alpha.ific.uv.es/~valle/talks/talks.html

• top-bottom

vs bottom-up

- hierarchical vs quasi-degenerate, sterile-nus?
- what is the scale ?
 - Planck scale: Strings?
 - GUT scale E(6), SO(10),...
 - Intermediate scale: P-Q, L-R ...
 - Weak $SU(3)\otimes SU(2)\otimes U(1)$ scale
- what is the mechanism?
 - tree vs radiative
 - B-L gauged vs ungauged...
- no theory of flavour

http://alpha.ific.uv.es/~valle/talks/talks.html